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Abstract—Understanding the mechanisms of plant adaptation to their habitat can help developing relevant conservation politics, which is a crucial issue for several Coffea species. This comes through an understanding of the links between genetic diversity and architectural plasticity. In this study, we investigated the biomass production and allocation strategies of young Coffea trees for nine African species with different countries of origin, that were submitted to a pruning treatment. It revealed that despite large inter-specific differences, the pruning treatment had no influence on biomass production and allocation for these young trees. We propose a theoretical analysis of this result, based on classical modelling hypotheses.

Keywords-GreenLab; topology; biomass allocation

I. INTRODUCTION

African Coffea species have developed different strategies to adapt to their habitat, that range from humid evergreen forests to seasonally dry deciduous forests [1]. Understanding the relationship between genetic diversity and architectural plasticity is key for the development of adequate politics for species preservation of Coffea and of new guidelines to improve agronomic productions. Coffea species exhibit different allocation strategies according to their geographical distribution: in humid zones, plants tend to invest more biomass into the leaf compartment relatively to the stem compartment, and inversely in drier zones. In this study, we investigate the robustness of this allocation strategy to changes in plant architecture, namely branching patterns, for young Coffea trees of nine African species.

Coupling experimental analysis with a modelling approach can provide additional insights to help interpreting the results. In particular, it can help assessing the role of interactions between plant development and physiological functioning on plant plasticity. In some cases, the equations are analytically tractable and can be used to study to what extent topological development influences production and partitioning at whole plant level.

In this paper, we investigate the effects of architecture on the biomass production and allocation for nine Coffea species with contrasted habits (large leaves and small number of phytomers/ small leaves and high number of phytomers). For young Coffea trees, we compare control plants (branched plant) with plants that were submitted to lateral axis ablation treatment. We propose a theoretical analysis of this result and we finally discuss the consequences of some modelling assumptions.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND RESULTS

A. Plant Material and Experimental Design

Nine Coffea species were selected for their diversity of distribution areas (Table I) and for their diversity of architectural characters (Table II, Fig. 1). Two experiments were carried out at IRD tropical greenhouse (Montpellier, France) from 1 March to 8 December 2008 and from 1 January 2009 to 18 January 2010. All the plants were obtained from cuttings from mother plants maintained in IRD tropical greenhouses. Plants were grown in regularly spaced PVC pots (30 cm between pots) during nine months. Plants were in a fog at several times per day and a daily rain during 30 minutes. Lateral branches were systematically removed after emergence to keep only the main stem. Plants subjected to this lateral axis ablation treatment (‘plant without branch’) were compared with plant without axis ablation (‘control plant’) to assess the impact of shoot structure on plant growth. Experiment 1 included a data set from growth monitoring for all species. Experiment 2 included a data set from only three selected species.

Table I

| Studied Coffea Species, Origin and Habitat from [1]. |
|---|---|---|
| Species | Code | Origin | Habitat |
| C. stenophylla | STE | Côte d'Ivoire | H/D (Slopes, ridges) |
| C. humilis | HUM | Côte d'Ivoire | H |
| C. liberica var liberica | LIB | West Africa | H |
| C. brevipes | BRE | Cameroon | H |
| C. canephora | CAN | Cameroon | H |
| C. liberica var deevre | DEW | Centr. Africa | H/D |
| C. racemosa | RAC | Tanzania | D (littoral forest) |
| C. pseudozanguebariae | PSE | Kenya | D (littoral forest) |
| C. arabica | ARA | Ethiopia | H |

H: Humid evergreen forest, D: Seasonaly dry mixed evergreen-deciduous forest

Plants were described phytomer by phytomer (a segment of the shoot that includes an internode together
with the leaf and axillary production). Plant topology was recorded following MTG formalism [2] using Xplo software (http://amapstudio.cirad.fr/). For each phytomer, the length of the underlying internode, the presence of branches and leaves were recorded. Each leaf was cut, weighed and scanned. Leaf areas were estimated by analyzing the images with Taoster (plug-in implemented in ImageJ freeware [3]). The plant axes were cut internode by internode. The length of the underlying internode, the presence of branches and leaves were recorded. Each leaf was cut, weighed and scanned. Leaf areas were estimated by analyzing the images with Taoster (plug-in implemented in ImageJ freeware [3]). The plant axes were cut internode by internode. The length of the underlying internode, the presence of branches and leaves were recorded. Each leaf was cut, weighed and scanned. Leaf areas were estimated by analyzing the images with Taoster (plug-in implemented in ImageJ freeware [3]).

**B. Investigation on Experimental Data**

Results illustrate the high variability of plant height and number of internodes of main stem among the studied species (Table II). This is also illustrated in Fig. 1 that shows the contrasted habit of *C. canephora* and *C. racemosa*. Generally, the height of plants without branch were higher than those of control plants (Table II). It can also be noted that *Coffea racemosa* (RAC) has a large height and number of phytomers compared with other species.

Despite this inter-species variability, a common trend can be observed for all the species: branched plants (control plants) and plants without branch have similar aerial mass (Fig. 2A). The difference was tested with a two-sided two samples Welch T-test and found significant only for *C. racemosa* at the level $p<0.05$ (but not at the level $p<0.01$). A more detailed investigation at compartment level shows that this is corroborated by similar patterns of compartment mass ratios within the plants: as shown in Fig. 2B-C, the control plants and plants without branch have similar stem mass and leaf mass respectively (no significant differences were found at the level $p<0.1$ for stem and blade compartment mass for the three species). Biomass allocation nevertheless varies from one species to another one: for instance, contrary to the two other species, the partitioning of biomass between stem and leaf of *C. racemosa* favours the stem.

**III. THEORETICAL ANALYSIS**

The experimental results presented in the previous section suggest that the pruning treatment does not affect the allocation strategy nor the biomass production of these young Coffea trees. In this section, we show that this result can be theoretically reproduced when considering the plant as a mere source-sink system with proportional allocation.

Let us consider that the plant topological development is fixed. Topology is defined as the graph of relative connexions (e.g. apical or lateral) between the set of basic units used for the description of the plant structure, here phytomers. Young Coffea trees follow the Roux architectural model, characterized by monopodial orthotropic trunk meristem which shows continuous growth and plagiotropic branches.

---

**Table II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spec.</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Nb. phyt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008 Experiment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUM</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.52 (4.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STE</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>27.52 (15.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIB</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27.40 (10.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAN</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>39.52 (7.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRE</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17.73 (2.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEW</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>44.80 (9.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSE</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>59.85 (24.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>63.09 (26.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 Experiment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUM</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.93 (7.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAN</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>38.43 (10.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAC</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>48.00 (7.38)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N: number of individuals; Height: mean (standard deviation) height; Nb. Phyt: mean (standard deviation) number of phytomers of the main stem (PA1).
The description of the topological development relies on two classes of axes, that are indexed by a parameter called physiological age (PA) [4]: PA is 1 for the trunk and 2 for branches. This phytomer organization can be written using different formalisms - e.g. automata [5], formal grammars, L-Systems [6] - and can be driven by any kind of deterministic or stochastic rules.

We note \( t \) the time step \( (t \in \mathbb{N}) \), called growth cycle, equal to the minimal duration between the emission of two successive phytomers, expressed in thermal time. The plant physiology is represented as a proportional source-sink system at organ scale. The biomass production at each growth cycle, \( Q(t) \), is shared between all the growing organs of the plant, regardless of their position and proportionally to their demand. The increment of biomass \( q^o_k(i,t) \) acquired by an organ of type \( o \) (\( o = a: \) blades, \( o = i: \) internodes), age \( i \) and PA \( k \) at growth cycle \( t \) is:

\[
q^o_k(i,t) = P^o_k \phi^o(i) \frac{Q(t)}{D(t)} \tag{1}
\]

with \( D(t) \) the total plant demand and where organ demand is written as the product of a sink strength \( P^o_k \) and a sink variation function \( i \mapsto \phi^o(i) \), defined on \( \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,1] \), that can take for instance the form of a normalized Beta density law [7] whose support is included in \([0,t_x]\), \( t_x \) being expansion duration.

In that context, the plant total blade area, \( S(t) \), can be expressed as a function of the cumulated biomass attributed throughout their expansion to leaves that are still active, i.e. with a chronological age less than the activity duration \( t_a \) \( (t_a \in \mathbb{N}^+) \):

\[
S(t) = \frac{1}{e} \sum_{i=1}^{\min(t,t_a)} \sum_{k=1}^{P_m} N^k(t-i+1) P^a_k \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \phi^a(j) \frac{Q(t-i+j-1)}{D(t-i+j-1)} \tag{2}
\]

where \( e \) denotes specific blade mass \( (g.cm^{-2}) \), \( N^k(t) \) is the number of leaves of PA \( k \) appearing at cycle \( t \), and \( P_m = 2 \) is the maximal physiological age. This expression shows that the plant production depends on its development through the demand (that includes numbers of organs appearing at each growth cycle) and the numbers of blades.

In the case of young Coffea trees, let us assume that the following conditions are met:

- leaf senescence is negligible (leaves were still active when harvested for measurements): \( t \leq t_a \)
- biomass allocation to secondary growth (rings) is negligible
- sink coefficients for PA 2 branches are proportional to those of PA 1:
  \( \forall o \in \{a,i\}, \forall k \in \{1,2\}, \ P^o_k = c_k \cdot P^o_1 \) with \( c_1 = 1 \)
- sink variation functions have similar shapes for blades and internodes: \( \phi^a = \phi^i \)
- the plant biomass production can be defined as a function \( f \) of the total blade area of the plant, \( S(t) \), and of some set of environmental factors, \( E(t) \):

\[
Q(t) = f(S(t),E(t),P) \tag{3}
\]

with \( P \) a set of possible parameters.

Under these hypotheses, the expression of the demand is:

\[
D(t-1) = \sum_{j=1}^{\min(t,t_x)} \sum_{k=1}^{cN^k} c_k N^k(t-j+1) \phi^a(j) \left( \frac{1}{2} P^a_i + P^o_a \right) \tag{4}
\]

Note that the demand is calculated at end of growth cycles so \( D(t-1) \) account for the new organs appearing at growth cycle \( t \). By replacing this term in (2) and after several rearrangements, we get for all \( t > 0 \):

\[
S(t) = \frac{1}{e} \sum_{p=1}^{\min(t,t_a)} \sum_{i=1}^{\min(t-p+1,t_x)} Q_{t-p} \phi^a(i) cN(t-p-i+2) \left( \frac{1}{2} P^a_i + P^o_a \right) \sum_{j=1}^{P_m} \phi^a(j) cN(t-p-j+2) \tag{5}
\]

where \( cN(t) = c_1 N^1(t) + c_2 N^2(t) \). One can see that for \( t_a > t \), the ratio simplifies and after transferring in (3), we get the resulting recurrent equation of biomass dynamics as:

\[
Q(t) = f \left( \frac{1}{e} \sum_{p=1}^{\min(t,t_a)} \sum_{i=1}^{\min(t-p+1,t_x)} \sum_{j=1}^{P_m} Q(t-i),E(t),P \right) \tag{6}
\]

Fig. 3 illustrates this property through simulation of young Coffea trees with stochastic development and arbitrary chosen, but realistic, functional parameters [8]. Whatever their topology is, the plants have similar biomass production profiles and partitioning dynamics.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Our results show that the biomass production is similar among young Coffea trees that have different topological developments. It has to be noted that these results were obtained under particular experimental conditions: the plants
were young, the development time was relatively short and the plants grew without inter-individual competition for light. It seems unlikely that the same results could be obtained for adult Coffea trees, since topology will influence the growth, in particular through self-shading of leaves, position-dependent allocation of biomass, autonomy of branches, or ring growth effects (see for instance the results of [9] on Cotton plants). Our results nevertheless suggest that the biomass production is independent of the plant structure at a given time.

This result was analytically reproduced in the framework of a source-sink system with proportional allocation, under a list of hypotheses that were listed in part III. The potential explanations that are proposed are based on modelling hypotheses and theoretical derivations that now need to be tested through complete parameter estimation of a model. They are likely to be only approximately verified for real young Coffea trees but this analytical study can nevertheless help understanding the hidden mechanisms that underlie our experimental observations.

This theoretical analysis also gives insights in the effects of some assumptions that are classically done in a modelling context. We refer for instance to [10] for the GreenLab model [11]–[13]. In GreenLab, the $f$ function of (3) for biomass production is derived from the Beer-Lambert law, as inherited from Process-Based Models [14]. For any $f$ function that depends on the total blade area (and not on the areas of each blade), our theoretical analysis predicts that proportional source-sink models can generate biomass dynamics that do not depend on topological development, even though topology is explicitly described in the model. In that case, the model comes down to a process-based model at compartment scale.

Finally, our experimental results revealed that the partitioning of stem and leaf allocations changes with the species under similar growth conditions. A model-based approach will allow extracting the dynamics of biomass partitioning for these different species and should help identifying different allocation strategies that could be linked to the ecological conditions of species habitat.
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