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Abstract
An non-local polycrystal approach, taking into account strain gradients, is proposed to simulate the 316LN stainless steel fatigue life curve in the hardening stage. Material parameters identification is performed on tensile curves corresponding to several 316LN polycrystals presenting different grain sizes. Applied to an actual 3D aggregate of 316LN stainless steel of 1,200 grains, this model leads to an accurate prediction of cyclic curves. Geometrical Necessary Dislocation densities related to the computed strain gradient are added to the micro-plasticity laws. Compared to standard models, this model predicts a decrease of the local stresses as well as a grain size effect.
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1. Introduction

Implemented in finite element codes, standard polycrystal models (based on dislocation density evolution), gave a first hint of grain size effects through the shear stresses heterogeneities [1]. If such models gave a first order description of plastic deformation related to dislocation motion, they could not describe the grain size effect on the mechanical behaviour evolution of polycrystals. By introducing a mechanical interaction between mobile dislocations and grain boundaries, some authors, such as Ma et al [2], showed that standard polycrystal models are sensitive to grain geometry. Despite these recent improvements, standard polycrystal models still could not predict both tensile and fatigue behaviours, with the same set of material parameters. The aim of this paper is to propose a numerical non-local polycrystal model able to directly predict the fatigue behaviour from tensile tests and its evolution with grain size by introducing Geometrically Necessary Dislocation (GND) densities into the constitutive laws of a polycrystal standard model.

For the last 15 years, different formulations of constitutive laws have been proposed, to describe the microstructure evolution in the grains of polycrystals submitted to plastic deformation. Related to the grain size, such formulations required constitutive laws introducing the strain gradient evolution in the grains. These works were reviewed by McDowell [3, 4], who analysed the different tools for computation modeling and for simulation bound to inelastic deformation phenomena, from atomistic to structural length scales.

Except some works based on generalized continuous-medium [5, 6, 7] and on Cosserat type coupled stress theories [8, 9, 10, 11], most papers dealt with the strain gradient theories. Grain size effect on macroscopic as well as on microscopical/mechanical behaviour was based on the concept of Geometrically Necessary Dislocations introduced by Nye [12] and Ashby [13]. As shown by Eshelby [14] and Kröner [15], the GNDs are required to accommodate the elastic and plastic incompatibilities between grains. Ensuring compatibilities between grains, the Finite Element technique gives a good approximation of local strain and stress fields at grain boundaries, but cannot take into account the grain size effect on mechanical properties. In polycrystals, local stress and strain fields are generally heterogeneous and present strain gradients at the vicinity of grain boundaries and within grains. The GNDs are bound to such strain gradients.

Several non-local rate dependent crystallographic formulations for finite strains were proposed by Beaudoin et al [16], Acharya et al [17, 18, 19, 20, 21], Meissonnier et al [22], Raabe et al [23] and Evers
et al [24]. Most of them were based on the framework of finite deformations, as defined by Asaro et al [25, 26, 27] and Peirce et al [28,29]. These non-local formulations, introduced GNDs densities into the constitutive laws. Relations between the elastic or plastic transformation field gradients and the specific burgers vectors of the GNDs were proposed by Acharya et al [18], Gurtin [30, 31, 32] and Cermelli and Gurtin [33]. They showed that the transformation gradient is related to the GND density tensor of Nye [12], Ashby [13], Eshelby [14] and Kröner [15]. Such non-local models found several successful applications forn mechanical behaviour of polycrystals, such as: hardening phenomena [19], grain size effect [17, 34], hardening due to particles [35], texture [36] and local mechanical fields predictions [2].

Macroscopical models of fatigue based on phenomenological equations [37, 38, 39], gave a good prediction of the macroscopic behaviour of materials submitted to low cycle fatigue. But, compared to a polycrystal approach based on dislocation micro-plasticity laws, they could not describe accurately the grain size effect and the micro-behaviour such as local fields. The mechanical behaviour being mainly linked to the dislocation microstructure evolutions (which are different for the tensile and for cyclic tests) we use constitutive laws function of dislocation densities. The GNDs (deduced from strain gradient computations) are introduced to relax stresses due to local incompatibilities and to describe more accurately the dislocation pattern evolution at the end of hardening stage. At the end of the hardening stage fatigue, the microstructure is composed of veins and channels which correspond to Persistent Slip Bands (PSBs) observed at the surface of the samples. Recently, the polycrystal modelling was applied to fatigue. Schwartz et al [40] showed that such approach gave a good description of PSBs initiation in the hardening stage of low cycle fatigue. Le Pécheur et al [41] successfully simulated the beginning of the stabilized stress-strain curves and compared different local micro-damage criteria. Li et al [42] proposed an accurate description of the softening stage and the associated local stress and strain fields, by assuming that the involved polycrystal was a two-phase material (veins and channels) which obeys the constitutive laws proposed by H. Mughrabi [43]. To point out the micro-mechanical consequences bound to GNDs introduction, we have chosen to suppress the kinematic law [44, 45] generally used to describe fatigue loading. Since the polycrystal modeling must be intrinsic, dislocations micro-plasticity laws must be the same for any mechanical tests. To obtain some information on the validity of the constitutive laws taking into account GNDs, we have numerically tested these equations on tensile and low cycle fatigue loadings. In this first approach, the hardening stage is only studied.

In this paper, GNDs are introduced into a local polycrystal model [46, 47, 48]. Implemented in a finite element code [49], our model is based upon the continuum dislocation theory, in the framework of finite deformations. The internal mechanical field amplitudes and distributions within the grains are computed with the local and with our non-local approaches. Performed on 316LN stainless steel presenting 3 grain sizes, tensile tests give the input data for the parameter identifications of the local and non-local models (section 2). Our non-local polycrystal model is developed in section 3. In section 4, three sets of parameters are obtained for the local and non-local approaches (LA and NLA). The two models are applied to an actual 316LN 3D aggregate submitted to tensile and fatigue tests. This aggregate is obtained by serial polishing and crystallographic orientations performed thanks to Electron Back Scattering Diffraction technique (EBSD). For both models, the obtained numerical tensile curves, the fatigue life curves and the cyclic loops in the hardening stage are compared to experimental ones. In section 5, the distribution and amplitude of the internal stresses within the grains of the 3D aggregate are analysed for cyclic loadings. A discussion is given in section 6. A summary (section 7) ends the paper.

2. Material and experimental procedures

2.1 Material characteristics

Obtained by rolling followed by an austenitization and by a quenching, our 316LN steel was composed of a 99% face centered cubic austenitic phase and a 1% body centered cubic residual δ ferritic phase. The 316LN composition is given in table 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Ta</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Chemical composition of the 316LN stainless steel

|            | Min. | 1.6 | -   | -   | 17.0 | 12.0 | 2.3 | 0.06 | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   |
|------------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|            | Max. | 0.03| 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.025| 0.1  | 18.0| 12.5| 2.7 | 0.08| 0.01| 0.01| 0.15| 0.3 | 0.001| 0.05| base|

The austenite and ferrite average grain sizes were 26 µm and 10 µm respectively. More than 30% of the austenitic grain boundaries corresponded to Σ3 twins. The material presented a negligible texture.

To identify the material parameters of our non-local model, tensile tests were performed on different grain sizes. The 316LN presenting abnormal grain growth, only three different grain sizes were obtained by critical hardening technique: 26 µm, 17 µm and 13 µm.

### 2.1.1 Tensile tests

The specimens were 2 mm thick, with a 40 mm gauge length. The tests were performed at room temperature with a $10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ strain rate. The stress-strain curves at room temperature are given in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. Tensile stress-strain curves for 316LN polycrystals presenting three grain sizes](image)

The stress evolution versus the grain size is given in Fig. 2 and is compared to Kashyap et al [50], curves for a AISI 316L steel. The same order magnitude is obtained. The small differences observed for the two AISI316LN and AISI316L steels may be attributed to the different compositions of the two materials. Our 316LN obeys the Hall-Petch law given by Eq. 1:

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 + k \left( \frac{1}{d} \right)^{1/2}$$

![Fig. 2. Experimental stress-grain size curves for several strain amplitudes. Comparison with the results of Kashyap et al [50].](image)

### 2.1.2 Fatigue tests

Fatigue tests were performed on cylindrical specimens (8 mm diameter and 6 mm gauge length). The studied material corresponded to the 316LN with a 26 µm grain size. At room temperature, low cycles were performed on MTS 100 kN, for two given strains ($\Delta \varepsilon / 2 = 0.3\%$ and $\Delta \varepsilon / 2 = 0.5\%$) at $10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$.
The results being close for the two strain amplitudes, only the $\Delta \varepsilon / 2 = 0.5\%$ applied strain is presented in this paper. The fatigue curves (Fig.3a) and the hysteresis loops (Fig.3b) are given for different fatigue life times: $N_H$ corresponds to the cycle number at the end of the hardening stage, $N_S$ to the end of the softening stage, $N_{1/2}$ to the half-life time and $N_R$ to the rupture of the specimens.

![Fatigue curves and hysteresis loops](image)

Fig.3. (a) Experimental fatigue curves for three 316LN identical specimens. (b) Cyclic stress-strain curves for different cycle numbers.

3. Polycrystal Modeling

This polycrystal plasticity model is an extension of the local approach proposed by Erieau et al. [46], Libert et al. [47], and Cédat et al. [48]. This model is developed in the framework of finite transformations (small elastic distortions but large lattice rotations), according to the scheme proposed by Asaro et al. [25] and Peirce et al. [28,29] for the case of single crystals. The approach was implemented in the Abaqus® finite element code, using a User MATerial Subroutine.

3.1 Kinematic

The kinematic is based on the velocity gradient $\bar{L}$ which is decomposed additively into an elastic part $\bar{L}^e$ and a plastic part $\bar{L}^p$ given by:

$$\bar{L} = \bar{L}^e + \bar{L}^p$$ (2)

With $\bar{L}^e = \tilde{F}^e \cdot \tilde{F}^e$ and $\bar{L}^p = \tilde{F}^p \cdot \tilde{F}^p$. Where $\tilde{F}^e$ is the elastic part and $\tilde{F}^p$ the plastic part of the deformation gradient tensor $\tilde{F}$ given by:

$$\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}^e \cdot \tilde{F}^p$$ (3)

In the following, we note: $(\bar{A} \cdot \bar{B})_{ij} = A_k B_{kj} and \bar{A} : \bar{B} = A_k B_{kj}$

For small elastic strain $\bar{\varepsilon}^e$ and large lattice rotations $\tilde{\varepsilon}^e$ we have:

$$\tilde{F}^e \approx (1 + \bar{\varepsilon}^e) \tilde{F}^e$$ (4)

The symmetric part of the velocity gradient is given by:

$$\bar{D} = \bar{D}^e + \bar{D}^p$$ (5)

With:

$$\bar{D}^e = \bar{\varepsilon}^e and \bar{D}^p = \sum_s \bar{\gamma}^s \left( \tilde{g}^s \otimes \bar{\eta}^s \right)$$ (6)

The skew symmetric part (elastic and plastic parts) of the velocity gradient is given by:

$$\bar{W} = \bar{W}^e + \bar{W}^p$$ (7)

With:

$$\bar{W}^p = \sum_s \bar{\gamma}^s \left( \tilde{g}^s \otimes \bar{\eta}^s \right) \bar{W}^p$$ (8)
and $\tilde{W}^e = \tilde{R}^e. \tilde{R}^e$  

(9)

$\tilde{g}^s$ and $\tilde{h}^s$ are unit vectors representing respectively the slip direction and the normal to the slip plane of the slip system $(s)$ in the current configuration. $\dot{\gamma}^s$ is the slip rate on the system $(s)$ in the current configuration.

The Cauchy tensor rate $\tilde{\sigma}$ does not satisfy the principle of objectivity, thus, as most authors, we introduce the Jaumann rate $\tilde{\sigma}^*$ given by:

$$\tilde{\sigma}^* = \sigma - \tilde{W}^e. \tilde{\sigma} + \tilde{\sigma}. \tilde{W}^e$$  

(10)

At each time increment, the Jaumann rate tensor is bound to the elastic strain rate by the elastic moduli $\tilde{C}^e$ so that the Cauchy stress tensor rate can be expressed as:

$$\dot{\sigma} = \tilde{C}^e : \tilde{D}^e + \tilde{W}^e. \tilde{\sigma} - \tilde{\sigma}. \tilde{W}^e - \sum_i \dot{\gamma}^i \tilde{R}^i$$  

(11)

with: $\tilde{R}^e = \tilde{C}^e : \tilde{D}^e + \tilde{W}^e. \tilde{\sigma} - \tilde{\sigma}. \tilde{W}^e$  

(12)

For infinitesimal strains, we assume that the Cauchy tensor $\tilde{\sigma}$ is equal to the Kirchhoff stress tensor $\tilde{\tau}$.

### 3.2 Non-local approach

Implemented in finite element code, polycrystal classical local approaches can predict heterogeneous strain stress and rotation fields within the grains, as well as sub-grain boundary formation. Piles up dislocation against the grain boundaries can be related to the observed strain localization. Such heterogeneities generate strain incompatibilities and extra stresses. The non-local approach can compute the strain gradient between two adjacent points within the material. Deduced from the strain gradient, the extra GNDs reduce such incompatibilities.

The incompatibility of the plastic strain is measured on the current configuration connected to the lattice configuration with an inverse elastic transformation tensor $\tilde{F}^{-e-1}$ by $\text{curl} \left( \tilde{F}^{-e-1} \right)$. The $ij$ component is given by:

$$\text{curl} \left( \tilde{F}^{-e-1} \right)_{ij} = \psi_{rs} \frac{\partial F_{ei}^{-e-1}}{\partial x_r}$$  

(13)

where $\psi_{rs}$ is the alternating symbol. $\text{curl} \left( \tilde{F}^{-e-1} \right)_{ij}$ is the derivative of the elastic transformation tensor vector with respect to the vector position $\tilde{\alpha} (\tilde{X},t)$ in the current configuration. The Burgers vector $\tilde{b}^e$ of the GNDs in the current configuration corresponds to the closure failure associated to the continuous lattice circuit $\partial S$ enclosing area $S$ on a slipsystem with normal $\tilde{r}$.

$$\tilde{b}^e = \int_{\partial S} \tilde{F}^{-e-1}. dx = \int_S \text{curl} \left( \tilde{F}^{-e-1} \right). \tilde{r} ds$$  

(14)

The Burgers vector can be expressed as a function of the dislocation tensor $\tilde{\alpha}$ defined by Nye [12] and Kröner [15]:

$$\tilde{b}^e = \int_S \tilde{\alpha}. \tilde{r} ds$$  

(15)

For each slip system $(s)$, the identification of the 9 components of the tensor $\tilde{\alpha}$ from experiments is not yet solved. Rather than introducing such a dislocation tensor into the dislocation density evolution laws Busso et al [35] and Ma et al [2], we use the scheme proposed by Peirce et al [28, 29] the incompatibility for slip system $(s)$ is defined by onescalar $\lambda^s$ via a third order tensor $\tilde{\Lambda}$. The relation between $\tilde{\Lambda}$ and the Burgers vector of the GNDs in the current configuration is defined from the elastic transformation:
\[ \bar{b}' = \int \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}^{-1}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathcal{A}} A : \bar{r} \, dS = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \bar{\alpha} \bar{r} \, dS \] (16)

With
\[ A = \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}^{-1}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right)_a, \text{or } A_{ik} = F_{ij}^{e^{-1}} - F_{ik}^{e^{-1}} \] (17)

According to Acharya et al [19], the third order tensor \( A \) represents the existence of a dislocation network threading the slip plane \((s)\) with a unit normal \( \mathbf{n}^s \).

The \( \lambda' \) scalar is given by:
\[ \lambda' = \sqrt{\left( A : \mathbf{n}^s \right) \left( A : \mathbf{n}^s \right)} \] (18)

The twelve scalar\( s \) correspond to GND densities. They are determined at each computation time increment.

According to Needleman and Sevillano [51], the addition of new parameters bound to GNDs requires a reformulation of the constitutive laws. Some authors, as Meissonier et al [22], Busso et al [35], Evers et al [24] and Kadkhodapour et al [52] described the dislocation density evolution by two equations: one for the statistically stored dislocations and a second one for GNDs. In our non-local model, we introduce an extra parameter \( k_0 \), into the dislocation density evolution equations, via the definition of the mean free path of these GNDs [34]:
\[ L^*_G = l/k_0 \lambda' \] (19)

\( k_0 \) is a material parameter which will be determined from our experimental tensile curves.

Acharya et al [20] mentioned that this mean free path \( L^*_G \) is associated to the pile up on the grain boundaries and to the cell wall patterns. We have extended this mean free path to sub-grain boundaries due to deformation.

Using the continuum theory of dislocations, the statistically stored dislocation densities \( \rho^s \) and the GNDs \( \lambda' \) on each slip system, are added and considered as internal variables of our model.

### 3.3 Single crystal plasticity laws

Our polycrystal model is developed for face centered cubic structure (fcc) as well as for body centered cubic structure (bcc) and for two-phase materials (which is the case of the austenitic stainless steel 316LN).

In this paper, the single crystal plasticity laws, as proposed by Tabourot et al [53] and issued from the works of Kocks et al [54], Kocks [55] and of Estrin and Mecking [56, 57], are here modified to take into account the GNDs. They are applied to each grain assumed to have the behaviour of single crystals.

For fcc, the Schmid criterion rules the activation of the 12 slip systems \( \{110\} <111> \). For bcc phase, the Schmid criterion [58] is applied to the 24 slip systems \( \{111\} <110> \) and \( \{111\} <112> \).

The criterion is given by:
\[ |\tau'| = \tau_c' \] (20)

where \( \tau' = \left( \bar{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{n}^s \right) \) is the reduced shear stress on the glide plane \((s)\), as computed from Eq.8. \( \tau_c' \) is the critical shear stress.

The GNDs play the role of obstacles and are added to the statistically stored dislocation densities. For each time increment of the computation, we assume that the critical shear stress is given by:
\[ \tau_c' = \tau_0' + \mu b \left( \sum_{u=1,2} \alpha^u (\rho^u + \lambda') = \sum_{u} h^{au} \rho^u \right) \] (21)

where \( \mu \) is the isotropic shear modulus, \( b \) the norm of the Burgers vector, \( \tau_0' \) the lattice friction stress, \( h^{au} \) the component of the hardening matrix. For the local approach \( (\lambda' = 0) \), the \( h^{au} \) matrix...
describes an anisotropic hardening, which depends on the activated dislocations (i.e. loading path). For the non-local approach \((\chi' \neq 0)\), we assume that the \(h^{\mu\nu}\) matrix describes both anisotropic and kinematic hardenings.

The critical shear stress, given by Eq.15 and Eq.16, is a function of the components \(a^{\mu\nu}\) of the interaction matrix (forest hardening) between the systems (s) and (u). There is no evidence that the interactions between statistically stored dislocations with the forest obstacles are similar to interactions between these dislocations and the obstacles created by GNDs. But, in this first approach, we have used the same interaction matrix. Moreover, this matrix is an asymptotic value, when compared to the one proposed by Devincre et al [59], Queyreau et al [60] and Monnet et al [61].

For an fcc single crystal, the 12x12 interaction matrix is composed of six different terms which are computed in section 4. The glide velocity \(\dot{\gamma}'\) is expressed with a classical viscoplastic potential based on the resolved shear stress and the critical shear stress for glide activating on system (s):

\[
\dot{\gamma}^s = \dot{\gamma}_0 \left( \frac{|\tau'|}{\tau'_c} \right)^n \text{sign} (\tau') \quad \text{if} \quad |\tau'| > \tau'_c
\]

\[
\dot{\gamma}^s = 0 \quad \text{otherwise}
\]

Where \(\dot{\gamma}_0\) is a reference shear rate and \(n\) is the rate exponent.

The dislocation density evolution (Eq.18), is governed by a dislocation production term, based on Orowan relationship and is balanced by an annihilation dislocation term which takes into account the dynamic recovery during deformation.

\[
\dot{\rho}^s = \frac{\dot{\gamma}'}{b} \left[ k_0 \lambda' + \frac{\sum_{\mu \neq s} \rho^n}{K} - 2 \gamma_c \rho^s \right]
\]

\(2\gamma_c\) is a material parameter related to an annihilation distance of dislocations. The first term corresponds to the inverse of the average mean free path \(L'_0\) of GNDs, whereas the second term corresponds to the inverse of the average mean free path \(L'_s\) of the statistically stored dislocations on the system (s). The third term corresponds to annihilation of dislocations. Material parameters \(k_0\) and \(K\) are related to the two average mean free path on each slip system \(L'_0\) and \(L'_s\). The evolution of \(L'_s\) comes from the evolution of the dislocation densities on the other glide systems (u) which intersect the glide plane (s), through:

\[
L'_s = K \sqrt{\sum_{\mu \neq s} \rho^u}
\]

The equations are solved thanks to a scheme using the forward gradient approximation close to the scheme proposed by Peirce et al [28] and Teodosiu et al [62].

4. Aggregate, meshing, boundary conditions, model parameter identification

4.1 Aggregate

A representative volume of the material is required to analyse the effect of the actual material microstructure (containing a large amount of twin boundaries) on the local stress and strain fields. To avoid artefacts due to boundary conditions and free surfaces, a large aggregate volume is used. To obtain such a Representative Volume (RV) of the 316LN material, a 600 x 600 x 150 \(\mu m^3\) aggregate was built, composed of 30 layers, each one corresponding to an Electron Back-Scattering Diffraction (EBSD) map extruded over 5\(\mu m\) (each layer thickness being then 5\(\mu m\)). The EBSD step analysis within a layer was 1\(\mu m\). The mechanical technique consisted in successive polishings and in
crystallographic orientation measurements by EBSD. An experimental technique and specific software were developed for the reconstruction of the points meshing element, need large memory space and a large computing time. In this paper, all simulations are actually performed on a quarter of the aggregate (300 x 300 x 150 µm³) named AG2 (Fig.4c) containing 1,029 grains. The FE meshing is 4 x 4 x 5 µm³. For such an aggregate, the resolution is weak, compared to those obtained by Zaefferer et al [63], through Orientation Microscopy in a Focused Ion Beam-Scanning Electron Microscopy (FIB-SEM). However, our mechanical technique enables the investigation of a large amount of large grains aggregate from the successive layers [49]. The 3D aggregate (AG1) contained 4,363 austenitic grains and 319 ferritic grains. Computations on such a large aggregate (Fig.4a and 4b), presenting small meshing (1 x 1 x 5 µm³) with eight Gauss

![Fig.4. (a) 316LN 3dimensional aggregate (4,363 austenitic grains), (b) ferritic phase, (c) aggregate used for the simulations (1,029 austenitic grains).](image)

4.2 Numerical scheme, meshing and boundary conditions

The polycrystal model is implemented in Abaqus software package®, using a User Subroutine (UMAT). The numerical scheme is an explicit forward gradient procedure which delivers a good accuracy as well as a high integration speed. Though this method presents the drawback to use very small time increments, it has the advantage to detect a progressive lattice reorientation (very small for fatigue tests) and the occurrence of new active glide systems. For such small time increments, our small elastic strain assumption is valid. Local stress and strain fields, dislocation densities, cumulated glide on the glide systems, total cumulated glide magnitude are respectively computed for each time increment, and for each Gauss point. In this first approach, the elastic transformation tensor is assumed equal to the lattice rotation \( \tilde{R}^e \approx \tilde{R}^c \).

Via a post-treatment routine, the gradient of the lattice rotation is explicitly computed for each time increment.

As shown in Fig.5a, linear cubic elements (total integration) are used with eight gauss points (referenced as C3D8 in Abaqus code). The computation is divided in four steps: the first step is devoted to the computation of \( \tilde{F}^c \approx \tilde{R}^c \) at the gauss points of each meshing element, the second step extrapolates the \( \tilde{F}^c \) values at the node of each element, the third step computes the gradient between the nodes, the fourth step inserts the new values of the gradient at the involved Gauss points.

The \( \tilde{A} \) tensor is then computed at each Gauss point as well as the GND densities \( \tilde{\lambda} \) on the slip systems (s).

![Fig.5. (a) Meshing element (Gauss points are represented by o symbols and the nodes of the elements are represented by X symbols), (b) aggregate used for the identification of the model parameters, (c) boundary conditions.](image)
4.3 Identification of the model parameters

The identification is performed by an inverse method on a “model” aggregate composed of 512 grains presenting the isotropic texture of the 316LN steel, which meshing is composed of 4,096 square elements C3D8. On the base of the tensile tests, the model parameters are determined, via an interface [47, 48] between Sidolo® [64] and Abaqus®. The aggregate used for the identification is given in Fig.5b and the boundary conditions (Fig.5c) are supplied in table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boundary conditions</th>
<th>Face 1</th>
<th>Face2</th>
<th>Nodes Ni, i≠j</th>
<th>Nodes Nj, i≠j</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>u1=0</td>
<td>u1=U(t)</td>
<td>u2(Ni)=0</td>
<td>u2(Nj)=0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Boundary conditions.

Some parameters (such as elastic constants and the interaction matrix coefficients) have been found in the literature. The studied 316LN polycrystal was found to be elastically isotropic. But each grain being considered as a single crystal presents an anisotropic elasticity. Identification of the parameters is thus performed with anisotropic values. For a 316LN single crystal, we use the anisotropic values obtained by Huntington [65] (C11=198 GPa, C12=125 GPa and C44=122 GPa).

For austenitic phase, we use the interaction matrix proposed by Devincre et al [66]. According to these authors, the \( a^\alpha \) components depend on the applied strain, via the dislocation density magnitude. In this work, we have used the \( a^\alpha \) asymptotic values. The matrix is composed of six terms representing several types of dislocation interactions: \( a_0 \) for self-interaction, \( a_1 \) for collinear interactions, \( a_2 \) for Lomer Cottrell locks, \( a_3 \) for Hirth junctions, \( a_4 \) for glissile dislocation interactions, \( a_5 \) for sessile dislocation interactions. For a single crystal, the \( a^\alpha \) components were obtained by dislocation dynamic simulations by Monnet et al [61]. In this paper, the \( a/\mu_0 \) ratio given by Monnet [67] is chosen, but \( a_5 \) is deduced from an inverse method.

For austenitic steel, the obtained values \( a_0=0.1236, a_1=0.6330, a_2=0.1236, a_3=0.0709, a_4=0.1388 \) and \( a_5=0.1236 \) are close to Monnet’s ones.

Three identifications are presented: one corresponding to the local approach (LA) \( (k_0=0) \), performed on the tensile curve corresponding to a grain size of 26\( \mu \)m; the two others, corresponding to non-local approaches (NLA), are performed on the three grain sizes.

The Burgers vector norm value was determined by Robertson et al [68]. For our 316LN, initial total dislocation density \( \rho_0 \) is measured by TEM.

The parameters independent of the type of approach are: the Burgers vector norm, the \( \rho_0 \) initial dislocation density, the \( \dot{\gamma}_0 \) reference shear rate and the rate exponent \( n \). These parameters are given in table 3:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( b ) (m)</th>
<th>( \rho_0 ) (m(^{-2}))</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( \dot{\gamma}_0 ) (s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5410(^{-10})</td>
<td>1.7710(^{-12})</td>
<td>73.50</td>
<td>4.00 ( 10^{-11} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Physical parameters independent of the type of approach.

From the identification performed thanks to tensile tests, two sets of \( (K, k_0, \text{and} 2\gamma_0) \) parameters are found for small and moderate strains. The evolution of these parameters at different stages of the tensile curves could have been determined, but such inverse computation being time consuming, we have reduced our study to the two strain ranges given in table 4.

In the following, the non-local approach corresponding to small and moderate strains are named NLA1 and NLA2 respectively. The local approach \( (k_0=0) \) is named LA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \tau_0 ) (MPa)</th>
<th>( k_0 ) (-)</th>
<th>( K ) (-)</th>
<th>( \gamma_0 ) (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>22.30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLA1</td>
<td>( \varepsilon \leq 1% )</td>
<td>22.30</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLA2</td>
<td>( 1% \leq \varepsilon \leq 15% )</td>
<td>22.30</td>
<td>8.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Material parameters identified from experimental data.
Being an intrinsic value, the friction shear stress $\tau_0$ is taken constant for the three identifications. $2\gamma_c$ is a material parameter related to an annihilation distance of dislocations equal to an amplitude of a few Burgers vectors. Table 4 shows that $2\gamma_c$ variations are ranging from 98 to 708. The material parameter $K$ is related to the dislocation mean free path by Eq. 19. For LA, the values of $L_G^2$ are about $48\mu$m. For NLA1 and NLA2, they are 40 $\mu$m and 53 $\mu$m respectively. Such values, slightly larger than the grain size, are relevant values for the initial state of an annealed material. According to Acharya and Beaudoin [16], the parameter $k_0$ is bound to the “mean free path”. Its value is 150 for small strains and 8.3 for larger strains. The 316LN steel used in this paper did not present residual stresses, so, the GND initial density is assumed equal to zero.

The identification process gives 3 sets of parameters ($K$, $y_c$, $k_0$) which values depend on the strain amplitude. This means that Eq. 23 should take into account this fact. In this first approach, we have successively used the relevant values for each stage of the simulations.

4.4 Validation of the local and non-local approaches through tensile curves

In Fig. 6a, simulations of the tensile test performed with LA on AG2 aggregate are compared to experimental tests (grain size 26 $\mu$m). Fig. 6b,c,d shows that the non-local approach NLA2 ($1\% \leq \varepsilon \leq 15\%$), gives a good description of the grain size effect on tensile tests ($\dot{\varepsilon} = 10^{-3} s^{-1}$). The numerical curves fit with experimental ones, except for the micro-plasticity stage. This slight misfit comes from an hardening matrix assumed constant with strain amplitude.

![Fig. 6. Comparison of experimental and numerical tensile stress-strain curves. (a) Local approach, (b, c, d) Non-local approach ($\dot{\varepsilon} = 10^{-3} s^{-1}$).](image)

For small deformations ($0.3\% \leq \varepsilon \leq 1\%$), the computed tensile curves obtained with the non-local approach1 (NLA1) fit with the experimental ones (Fig. 7a), but as shown in Fig. 7b, NLA1 cannot be extended to an applied deformation beyond 1%. This macroscopic threshold strain of 1%, corresponds to an average strain value beyond which all grains are plastically deformed, leading to dislocation patterns. In our polycrystal model, the grains are considered as single crystals with different crystalline orientations. The constitutive laws of the model correspond to single crystal laws. The first set of parameters (strain less than 1%) is bound to single crystal stage I (single slip), whereas the second set corresponds to stage II (hardening stage). For polycrystals in micro-plasticity stage, most grains are either in elastic stage or in stage I, where the dislocation pattern is not yet developed. At about 1% macroscopic strain, the change on the hardening slope of the tensile curve corresponds to a plastic deformation of all grains and then to hardening.
5. Results: Hall-Petch law and fatigue behaviour

5.1 Grain size effect for monotonic loading

For moderate applied strain (Fig.8a), NLA2 simulations of the Hall-Petch curves, are in very good agreement with experimental curves. Fig.8b shows the predicted hardening slopes for small grain sizes ranging between d=5 µm and d=500 µm. A large hardening is observed for very small grain sizes.

![Experimental and numerical tensile stress-strain curves for the Non-local Approach](image)

Fig. 7. Experimental and numerical tensile stress-strain curves for the Non-local Approach1. (a) Small deformations, (b) Moderate deformations

![Comparison of experimental and stress-grain size curves simulation](image)

Fig.8. (a) Comparison of experimental and stress-grain size curves simulation. (b) Simulation of the tensile test for polycrystals presenting several small grain sizes with the Non-local Approach2 (NLA2) for k0=8.25 (moderate straining).

5.2 Fatigue loading

5.2.1 Comparison of Local and Non-local approaches

Computed fatigue curves obtained for 20 cycles (ε = ±0.5%) with LA and NLA1 are compared to an experimental one. As it can be seen on Fig.9, LA identified from tensile tests does not fit with the fatigue life curve. By contrast, NLA1 gives a good description of the hardening stage of the fatigue curve. Nevertheless, the latter non-local approach cannot describe the whole fatigue curve. This means that the dislocation microstructure evolution towards a two-phase material composed of walls, cells and persistent bands [69,70] cannot be predicted by our model without introducing back stresses.

![Comparison of the fatigue curves in the hardening stage computed with the Local Approach (LA) and Non-local Approach 1](image)

Fig.9. Comparison of the fatigue curves in the hardening stage computed with the Local Approach (LA) and Non-local Approach 1 (small strain, k0=150) and the experimental one.
Fig. 10. Comparison of the fatigue stress-strain curves in the hardening stage computed with the Local Approach (LA) and Non-local Approach 1 (small strain, $k_0=150$) and the experimental one (5th and 10th fatigue cycles).

As shown in Fig. 10, the non-local approach gives a better description of the hysteresis loops of the hardening fatigue stage, by comparison with the local approach. Nevertheless, there is a slight discrepancy at the transition between elastic and plastic stages. This discrepancy may be explained by the absence of back stress, generally introduced in the constitutive law (Eq. 16) for fatigue description.

NLA mappings, as well as the distribution curves, show that introduction of GNDs densities lower the local equivalent stress values, when compared to LA (Fig. 11a and Fig. 11b). GNDs relax the internal stresses at grain boundaries but also relax them within the grains. The decrease of the average stress is about 100 MPa.
Fig. 11. Comparison of the equivalent stress field computed with the Local approach (LA) and the non-local approach NLA1 corresponding to small strain ($k_0=150$) and performed on the AG2 aggregate submitted to fatigue test (10$^{th}$ cycle). (a) Distribution curves of the equivalent stress amplitude, (b) maps of equivalent stress field within the 1$^{st}$ and 15$^{th}$ layers of the aggregate.

The maps (Fig. 11) show that the local intragranular stress fields present heterogeneities. The strain fields, not given here, also show heterogeneities. For the polycrystal model, a more accurate threshold might be applied to each Gauss point, but such a refinement would lead to a more complex simulation. Schwartz et al. [40] showed that polycrystal model could describe accurately the PSBs pattern at end of the hardening stage. In this paper, the meshing is too large to describe such a pattern.

5.2.2 Grain size effect in fatigue loading

Grain size effect in fatigue is studied for AG2 and AG2D10 aggregates after 10 cycles (fatigue hardening stage). At the vicinity of the grain boundaries, the stresses are increased and are less homogeneously distributed in small grain sizes when compared to large grain sizes (Fig. 12a and Fig. 12b).

![Fig. 12](image)

6. Discussion

A simple non-local model has been designed to predict the hardening stage, the cyclic stress-strain response and the distribution of the internal stress field within the grains of an actual 316LN
aggregate containing 1,029 grains. For the macroscopical and microscopical mechanical behaviour of a 316LN steel, the contribution of GNDs which take into account all local deformation incompatibilities, has been studied by comparing the numerical results of local and non-local models to experimental ones. The GND densities on the slip systems have been introduced in the evolution law of the total dislocation density and into the Schmid criterion \((\tau_s)\). Instead of using 9 components on 12 slip systems for the dislocation tensors \(\mathbf{Z}_s\), we have represented the GND densities by 12 scalars \(s^2\), according to Acharya and Beaudoin [18]. Thus, only one parameter \((k_0)\) has been added to the material parameters of our local polycrystal model.

A set of three material parameters \((K, y_c, \text{and } k_0)\) is determined through an inverse method, using experimental tensile tests performed on polycrystals presenting three grain sizes. Two sets of parameters have been obtained for small and moderate straining. The \(k_0\) parameter bound to GNDs mean free path drops from 150 for small strains \((\varepsilon<1\%)\) to 8 for moderate strains \((1\%<\varepsilon<15\%)\). For moderate strains, our result is of the same order of magnitude as those obtained by Kok et al [71] who found \(k_0=20.2\) for pure nickel \((77\text{K and }\varepsilon\geq5\%)\) and \(k_0=2.64\) for HY-100 martensite.

The existence of two sets of identified parameters for two different strains raises some open questions. For standard modeling, \(K\) and \(2y_c\) are related respectively to the mean free path of the statistically stored dislocations and to an annihilation distance of dislocations. These two parameters are usually considered as physical parameters, intrinsic to the material. For the local approach \((\text{LA)}\) and non-local approach for small and moderate strains \((\text{NLA1 and NLA2)}\), \(K\) is of the same order of magnitude. By contrast, \(2y_c\) presents some variations: \(9b\) for \(\text{LA}, 70b\) for NLA1 and \(34b\) for NLA2 \((b\) is the norm of the Burgers vector). Though these data are not clearly defined in literature, the \(2y_c\) discrepancy is too large. Last but not the least, the \(k_0\) parameter is decreased by a factor 20 from the micro-plasticity stage to the hardening stage. In this paper, the used mean free path expression \(k_0s^2\) is very close to the one proposed by Kok et al [71]. According to our results, the \(k_0s^2\) term of Eq. 18 (which physical meaning has not yet been clarified) must take into account the evolution of the microstructure (total dislocation densities). In this first numerical approach, we have kept the classical expression of a mean free path but we have separated the case of small and moderate strains. The first \(k_0\) value is obtained from micro-plasticity stage of tensile curve where only few grains are plastically deformed and where the dislocation microstructure is close to the initial one. This value can be used to describe the cyclic stress strain curves in the hardening stage. The second \(k_0\) value can describe Hall Petch curves for moderate strain but cannot describe fatigue behaviour. It corresponds to a dislocation microstructure different from the fatigue one. This result shows that the expression of the mean free path of GNDs (Eq. 23) is not intrinsic for the material and must be replaced by a function. Eq. 23 is composed of two hardening terms (sources of dislocations) and a recovery term.

In our approach, the hardening and recovery terms are needed to describe the non linear hardening of tensile curves. This recovery term describes the annihilation of two dislocations. However, this term is not suitable to describe a softening due to a different mechanism, such as the motion of dislocations within the channels of the dislocation pattern. Compared to the local approach (without kinematic hardening), the non-local investigation brings a significant improvement on the description of the Bauschinger effect. Nevertheless, it has to be upgraded to completely describe the Bauschinger effect due to the back stress. The back-stress (or kinematic hardening) corresponds to the elastic reaction of the dislocation lattice against the imposed strain at the end of each cycle: glide dislocations are gradually trapped into the loop patches, and into the grain boundaries and PSBs. To avoid the introduction of an empirical non-linear back stress to describe softening, we have to modify the second term of Eq. 23.

This first approach of the hardening in low cycle fatigue provides some important results. In the hardening stage \((10^6\text{ cycle})\), the NLA1 approach predicts a decrease of the equivalent local stress, when compared to the LA approach. By contrast to the LA mappings, the NLA1 stress pattern mappings show that the equivalent stresses are more concentrated close to the grain boundaries than within the grain bulks. The computing process being time consuming and needing large memory space,
the GND densities computed for each time increment are not saved independently, but added to the statistically stored dislocations. To obtain an order of magnitude of the GNDs density $\rho_G$ for small strain, it is assumed that the GNDs mean free path is of the same order that the grain size ($d=26\mu m$). With $L^*_G = l/k_o \lambda' = d$ and $\lambda' \approx \left(\rho_G^L \right)^{1/2}$, we obtain: $\lambda' = 2,500 \text{ m}^2$ and $\rho_G^L = 6.2 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^2$. Such a low value of $\rho_G^L$ is relevant for an annealed material.

A NLA approach gives information about the grain size effect in fatigue loading. For two studied grain sizes ($d=26\mu m$ and $d=2.6 \mu m$), computations point out larger stress distribution for small grains (average stress shifted about 150 MPa), meaning a larger non uniform stress pattern and a large concentration of stresses near some grain.

For AG2 aggregate, the meshing ($4\mu m \times 4\mu m \times 5\mu m$) used for computations is too large to capture very small lattice misorientations between neighboring points. According to Kadkhodapouret al [52], GNDs measurements related to small misorientations must be recorded with $50nm$ step size. The obtained local stress and strain field in the real 316LN aggregate can be used to test damage criteria.

7. Summary

To check the validity of the laws, we have numerically tested these equations on tensile and low cycle fatigue loadings. The main advantage of our straightforward non-local approach is to only need seven physical parameters ($t_o, n, a_0, \rho_o, k_0, K$ and $\gamma_o$) which can be experimentally identified from tensile tests performed on different grain sized polycrystals. When compared to the local approach, the non-local approach, identified on the micro-plasticity stage of tensile tests, is a very efficient tool to predict the hardening stage of fatigue, cyclic stress-strain curves and the related local stresses. Our results show that a better formulation of the GND mean free path must be investigated to describe the back stress phenomenon. The proposed non-local approach gives also a first hint of the grain size effect during fatigue.
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